

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

inited	States Patent and Trademark Office
Address:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 09/600,947 07/25/2000 **BRUCE TOWE** A31178PCTA 4172 EXAMINER Marta E. Delsignore, Ph.D. ALEXANDER, LYLE Pitney, Hardin, Kipp & Szuch LLP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 711 Third Avenue New York, NY 10017-4014 1743

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Пл
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/600,947	TOWE, BRUCE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lyle A Alexande	1	
The MAILING DATE of this communi Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover	sheet with the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statance - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set of extended period	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howen unication. of days, a reply within the statutory minutory period will apply and will expire will, by statute, cause the application to the mailing date of this communication.	ever, may a reply be timely filed immum of thirty (30) days will be considered time SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2I	b)☐ This action is non-fina	1.	
Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice.			ie merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:  Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	a) accepted or b) obj tion to the drawing(s) be held the correction is required if the	in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). e drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for since a specific reference was included 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certi	documents have been rece documents have been rece of the priority documents ha hal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2) of for a list of the certified co or domestic priority under 3 I in the first sentence of the guage provisional application of domestic priority under 3	ived. ived in Application No ive been received in this Nationa (a)). ipies not received. 5 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional e specification or in an Application on has been received. 5 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	O-948) 5) 🗌	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT Other:	, ,

Application/Control Number: 09/600,947

Art Unit: 1743

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-8 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Guilbeau et al.

See the appropriate paragraph of paper 13.

With respect to the 8/27/03 amendments, Applicants have added the limitations that the system is continuously measuring analytes in stream where the reagent fluid is place in a waste reservoir down stream from the sensor system.

Guilbeau et al. teaches in column 3 lines 40+ placing the sensor in the blood stream to provide continuous monitoring. Further, a method of in-vitro analysis is taught that inherently must move the reacted blood to a waste area down stream from the sensor and collected (e.g. the reacted blood must be moved away from the sensor so further analysis can take place and because blood is hazardous material it is collected for proper disposal).

Claims 12-16 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Burgess.

See the appropriate paragraph of paper 13.

With respect to the 8/27/03 amendments, Applicants have added the limitations that the system is directed to a test fluid continuously supplied and detected by an optical cell.

Application/Control Number: 09/600,947

Art Unit: 1743

Burgess teaches in column 2 lines 39+ continuously measuring of an analyte in a liquid or gas by an optical sensor which all have been properly read on the pending claims.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guilbeau et al. alone or in view of Williams.

See the appropriate paragraph of paper 13.

Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burgess.

See the appropriate paragraph of paper 13.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/27/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants state Guilbeau et al. fails to teach a micro-flow system where a reaction occurs between the reagent and target. Guilbeau et al. teach in column 8 lines 45+ use of glucose oxidase that reacts with glucose in the blood and the subsequent quantification of glucose. The glucose oxidase has been read on the claimed reagent and the glucose in the blood as the target. The system taught by Guilbeau et al. is on a small scale and has been read on the claimed micro-flow system. Further, Applicants argue Guilbeau et al. fails to teach removing the sample/reagent to a waste reservoir

Application/Control Number: 09/600,947

Art Unit: 1743

down stream from the sensor system. This limitation has been addressed by the new rejection above.

Applicants traverse the combination of Guilbeau et al. in view of Williams by stating the combination does not teach the claimed polymer. The Office disagrees maintaining Williams teaches in column 6 lines 41-47cellulose is a suitable material of construction.

Applicants traverse the rejections over Burgess on the basis the instant amendments now define over the claims. In the absence of greater specificity, the Office maintains the new rejection above properly meets these new limitations.

Applicants similarly traverse the application of Burgess under 35 USC 103 on the basis the subject matter from which claim 17 depends is patentable and thus claim 17 is patentable as well. The Office maintains all of the rejections of record are proper.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1743

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lyle A Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1254. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Wednesday and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1743

\*\*\*